

TRINITY GRAMMAR SCHOOL INTERNATIONAL BACCALAUREATE RESULTS 2012

Peter Goetze | Director of the International Baccalaureate.

Overall the 2012 IB results were outstanding, especially considering that this was one of our larger candidatures and comprised students from across a wide ability range. The Trinity performance was particularly meritorious as it was one of our better results that we have attained over the past 13 years. It was all the more pleasing as our 2012 candidature was academically weaker overall than any previous candidature. The result is a clear indication that success in the IB programme is not limited to an “academic elite” and that students of average ability can achieve excellent results through hard work and sheer determination. **There were many fine performances, not only by Shehan Canagasingham and John Zhou who both achieved scores of 44, but also by the other 10 candidates who achieved scores of 39+.** One very pleasing statistic reveals that 31 out of our 43 candidates (74%) achieved an IB score of 33+ and, therefore, an ATAR equivalent of 90+. **It is well worth noting too that over 88% of our candidates obtained IB scores in excess of 30+ IB points, which is the IB world average score.** This statistic further emphasises Trinity’s exceptional performances, year in and year out, which are always far in excess of the IB world standard. A further aspect of our results was also most gratifying – namely, that 11 students (26% of our candidature) achieved the full 3 extra points awarded for Theory of Knowledge and the Extended Essay. 62% of our candidates achieved an A or a B in Theory of Knowledge while a significant proportion of our students (53%) achieved either an A or a B in their Extended Essays.

Summary

| | |
|--|---|
| Total number of TGS candidates | 43 |
| Average IB points of TGS Diplomates | 35.20 (which equates to an ATAR of 94) |
| Average IB points in the world | 30.01 (which equates to an ATAR of 83.7) |
| Actual ATAR equivalent average of Diplomates | 91.87 |

(another exceptional result given the revised conversion tables for 2012)

Important statistics

8 out of 43 candidates (19%) received an ATAR equivalent of 98+
21 out of 43 candidates (50%) received an ATAR equivalent of 95+
31 out of 43 candidates (74%) received an ATAR equivalent of 90+
37 out of 43 candidates (89%) received an ATAR equivalent of 85+

Outstanding Individual Performances (40+ IB points / 98.2 + ATAR) – (The equivalent of NSW HSC All-Rounder Awards)

| | | |
|-----------------------------|----|-------|
| Shehan Canagasingham | 44 | 99.85 |
| John Zhou | 44 | 99.85 |
| Vincent Chiu | 43 | 99.65 |
| Nicholas Karaboulis | 42 | 99.35 |
| Daniel Wong | 42 | 99.35 |
| Jacob Olliffe | 41 | 98.80 |
| Jeremy Qiao | 41 | 98.80 |
| Oscar Esposito | 40 | 98.20 |



Outstanding Individual Subject Performances (7s or 3 for TOK & EE)

| | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---|
| Shehan Canagasingham | Eng A1 HL | 7 |
| | Lat SL | 7 |
| | Eco HL | 7 |
| | Chem SL | 7 |
| | Mth Std SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| James Chan | Film HL | 7 |
| Vincent Chiu | Eng A1 SL | 7 |
| | Frn B SL | 7 |
| | Chem HL | 7 |
| | Phys HL | 7 |
| | Maths HL | 7 |
| John Di Ciaccio | Mth Stud SL | 7 |
| Oscar Esposito | Mth Stud SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Sebastian Kaiser | Mth Stud SL | 7 |
| Leon Jong | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Nicholas Karaboulis | Frn Ab SL | 7 |
| | Chem SL | 7 |
| | Maths SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Alexander Karakikes | Mth Std SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Miles Katay | Latin HL | 7 |
| | Maths HL | 7 |
| Alexander Kelly | Spts Ex Sci SL | 7 |
| | VA HL | 7 |
| Tiernan Kulkarni | Bio SL | 7 |
| Jarred Lammiman | Film HL | 7 |
| Jin Hee Lee | Kor B HL May | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Gabriel Lim | Jap Ab SL | 7 |
| | Maths SL | 7 |
| Nicholas Matsias | Spts Ex Sci SL | 7 |
| Anthony Mattick | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Andrew Nada | Mth Stud SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Jacob Olliffe | Frn Ab SL | 7 |
| | Spts Ex Sci SL | 7 |
| | Math Std SL | 7 |
| Jeremy Qiao | Com Sci HL | 7 |
| | Chem SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Erin Saricilar | Frn B SL | 7 |
| | Phys HL | 7 |
| | Maths SL | 7 |
| Scott Sidwell | Com Sci HL | 7 |
| Daniel Sioufi | Mth. Std SL | 7 |

| | | |
|------------------------|-------------|---|
| Narvaez Sodhi | Bus/Man. HL | 7 |
| | Math Std SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Jared Wang | Mndn B HL | 7 |
| Daniel Wong | Mndn B SL | 7 |
| | Chem SL | 7 |
| | Bio SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| Jonathan Watson | TOK/EE | 3 |
| John Zhang | Jap Ab SL | 7 |
| John Zhou | Eng A1 SL | 7 |
| | Mndn B HL | 7 |
| | Eco HL | 7 |
| | Phys SL | 7 |
| | Com Sci SL | 7 |
| | TOK/EE | 3 |
| William Zhu | Bus/Man. HL | 7 |
| | Eco HL | 7 |

Excellent Subject Results (7 or 6 in a subject)

| | |
|---------------|--|
| English A1 HL | 1 x 7, 13 x 6 (26 candidates) Average = 5.42 |
| English A1 SL | 2 x 7, 3 x 6 (17 candidates) Average = 5.11 |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| French Ab. SL | 2 x 7, 4 x 6 (10 candidates) Average = 5.50 |
| French B SL | 2 x 7, 1 x 6 (3 candidates) Average = 6.67 |
| German B SL | 1 x 6 (5 candidates) Average = 4.80 |
| Japanese Ab. SL | 2 x 7, (3 candidates) Average = 6.00 |
| Latin HL | 1 x 7, (1 candidate) Average = 7.00 |
| Latin SL | 1 x 7, 1 x 6 (5 candidates) Average = 5.00 |
| Mandarin Ab. SL | 1 x 6 (2 candidates) Average = 5.50 |
| Mandarin B HL | 2 x 7, 2 x 6 (4 candidates) Average = 6.50 |
| Mandarin B SL | 1 x 7, 1 x 6 (2 candidates) Average = 6.50 |
| Business & Man. SL | 2 x 7, 1 x 6 (8 candidates) Average = 5.50 |
| Economics HL | 3 x 7, 5 x 6 (17 candidates) Average = 5.47 |
| Economics SL | 2 x 7, 4 x 6 (11 candidates) Average = 5.64 |
| History HL | 6 x 6 (11 candidates) Average = 5.45 |
| Psychology HL | 3 x 6 (14 candidates) Average = 4.93 |
| Psychology SL | 1 x 6 (2 candidates) Average = 5.50 |
| Biology SL | 1 x 7, 1 x 6 (7 candidates) Average = 4.86 |
| Chemistry HL | 1 x 7, 3 x 6 (6 candidates) Average = 5.67 |
| Chemistry SL | 3 x 7, 6 x 6 (9 candidates) Average = 6.00 |
| Physics HL | 2 x 7, 1 x 6 (5 candidates) Average = 6.00 |
| Physics SL | 1 x 7, 2 x 6 (7 candidates) Average = 4.86 |
| Sports Science SL | 3 x 7, 2 x 6 (5 candidates) Average = 6.60 |
| Computer Science HL | 2 x 7, (2 candidates) Average = 7.00 |
| Computer Science SL | 1 x 7, (1 candidate) Average = 7.00 |
| Math. Studies SL | 9 x 7, 11 x 6 (27 candidates) Average = 5.93 |
| Maths HL | 2 x 7, 3 x 6 (5 candidates) Average = 6.40 |
| Maths SL | 3 x 7, 5 x 6 (11 candidates) Average = 5.91 |
| Film HL | 2 x 7, 4 x 6 (10 candidates) Average = 5.70 |
| Music HL | 2 x 6 (2 candidates) Average = 6.00 |
| Visual Arts HL | 1 x 7, 1 x 6 (3 candidates) Average = 6.00 |

Excellent Extended Essay Results [23/42 candidates (55%) received a B or higher]

| | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| English | 3 x A, 2 x B (5 candidates) |
| Korean B | 1 x A (1 candidate) |
| Mandarin B | 2 x A, 2 x B (4 candidates) |
| Economics | 1 x B (2 candidates) |
| History | 2 x A, 2 x B (8 candidates) |
| Biology | 2 x A (3 candidates) |
| Design Technology | 2 x B (3 candidates) |
| Computer Science | 1 x A (1 candidate) |
| Film | 1 x A (6 candidates) |
| Physics | 1 x B (2 candidates) |
| Music | 1 x A (2 candidates) |

Excellent TOK Results – 28/42 candidates (67%) received a B or higher

| | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Theory of Knowledge | 6 x A, 22 x B (42 candidates) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|